



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

RONALD DE'RAY SKIPPER, §
§
Plaintiff, §
§
vs. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:05-3024-HFF-TER
§
SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF §
CORRECTIONS et al., §
§
Defendants. §

AMENDED ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE
MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This case was filed as a civil rights action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Defendants' motion for summary judgment on Plaintiff's federal claims be granted and Plaintiff's federal claims be dismissed. To the extent that Plaintiff has raised state claims, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction and dismiss those claims. The Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on February 8, 2008. Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standards set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court Defendants' motion for summary judgment on Plaintiff's federal claims be **GRANTED** and Plaintiff's federal claims be **DISMISSED**. To the extent that Plaintiff has raised state claims, the Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction. Thus, those claims are **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 4th day of March, 2008, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd
HENRY F. FLOYD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.